The Online Multicommodity Connected Facility Location Problem

Mário César San Felice[*]
Cristina G. Fernandes[*]
Carla Negri Lintzmayer[†]

[*] IME-USP
Institute of Mathematics and Statistics
University of São Paulo

[†] IC-UNICAMP
Institute of Computing
University of Campinas

September 7th, 2017

Multicommodity Connected Facility Location

Two-layer network design problem, which arises from a combination of the Facility Location and the Steiner Forest problems through the rent-or-buy model.

Proposed by Fabrizio Grandoni and Thomas Rothvoß, who presented a constant approximation sample-and-augment algorithm.

Sample-and-Augment is a technique, due to Gupta et al., to design randomized algorithms for rent-or-buy problems.

Online Problems and Competitive Analysis

Parts of the input are revealed one at a time.

Each part is served before the next one arrives.

No decision made may be changed in the future.

An online algorithm ALG is c-competitive if:

$$\mathrm{ALG}(I) \leq c\,\mathrm{OPT}(I) \ ,$$

for every input I.

Competitive ratio is similar to approximation ratio.

Online Prize-Collecting Facility Location Problem



Online Prize-Collecting Facility Location Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F^a} f(i) + \sum_{j \notin D^\phi} d(j, \phi(j)) + \sum_{j \in D^\phi} \pi(j)$$

Online Prize-Collecting Facility Location Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F^a} f(i) + \sum_{j \notin D^\phi} d(j, \phi(j)) + \sum_{j \in D^\phi} \pi(j)$$

Online Prize-Collecting Facility Location Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F^a} f(i) + \sum_{j \notin D^\phi} d(j, \phi(j)) + \sum_{j \in D^\phi} \pi(j)$$

Total cost $= 2$

Online Prize-Collecting Facility Location Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F^a} f(i) + \sum_{j \notin D^\phi} d(j, \phi(j)) + \sum_{j \in D^\phi} \pi(j)$$

Total cost $= 2$

Online Prize-Collecting Facility Location Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F^a} f(i) + \sum_{j \notin D^\phi} d(j, \phi(j)) + \sum_{j \in D^\phi} \pi(j)$$

Total cost $= 2 + 2$



$$\min \sum_{i \in F^a} f(i) + \sum_{j \notin D^\phi} d(j, \phi(j)) + \sum_{j \in D^\phi} \pi(j)$$

Total cost $= 2 + 2$

Online Prize-Collecting Facility Location Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F^a} f(i) + \sum_{j \notin D^\phi} d(j, \phi(j)) + \sum_{j \in D^\phi} \pi(j)$$

Total cost $= 2 + 2 + 2$

Online Prize-Collecting Facility Location Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F^a} f(i) + \sum_{j \notin D^\phi} d(j, \phi(j)) + \sum_{j \in D^\phi} \pi(j)$$

$$\text{Total cost} = 2 + 2 + 2 = 6$$

Online Prize-Collecting Facility Location Problem

Elmachtoub and Levi, and San Felice et al. independently presented $O(\log n)$-competitive algorithms for the OPFL.

Since the OPFL is a generalization of the Online Facility Location problem, the $\Omega\left(\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}\right)$ lower bound due to Fotakis applies to it.

Online Steiner Forest Problem



Online Steiner Forest Problem



$$\min \sum_{e \in T} d(e)$$

Online Steiner Forest Problem



$$\min \sum_{e \in T} d(e)$$

Online Steiner Forest Problem



$$\min \sum_{e \in T} d(e)$$

Total cost $= 2$

Online Steiner Forest Problem



$$\min \sum_{e \in T} d(e)$$

Total cost = 2

Online Steiner Forest Problem



$$\min \sum_{e \in T} d(e)$$

Total cost $= 2 + 5$

Online Steiner Forest Problem



$$\min \sum_{e \in T} d(e)$$

Total cost $= 2 + 5$

Online Steiner Forest Problem



$$\min \sum_{e \in T} d(e)$$

Total cost $= 2 \ + 5 \ + 1$

Online Steiner Forest Problem



$$\min \sum_{e \in T} d(e)$$

Total cost $= 2 \ + 5 \ + 1 \ = 8$

Online Steiner Forest Problem

Berman and Coulston presented a deterministic $O(\log n)$-competitive algorithm for the OSF.

Also, a $\Omega(\log n)$ lower bound to the OST due to Imase and Waxman applies to the OSF.

Online Multicommodity CFL Problem



Online Multicommodity CFL Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F} f(i) + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{e \in E_p^r} d(e) + M \sum_{e \in E^b} d(e)$$

Online Multicommodity CFL Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F} f(i) + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{e \in E_p^r} d(e) + M \sum_{e \in E^b} d(e)$$

Online Multicommodity CFL Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F} f(i) + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{e \in E_p^r} d(e) + M \sum_{e \in E^b} d(e)$$

Total cost $= 2$

Online Multicommodity CFL Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F} f(i) + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{e \in E_p^r} d(e) + M \sum_{e \in E^b} d(e)$$

Total cost $= 2$

Online Multicommodity CFL Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F} f(i) + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{e \in E_p^r} d(e) + M \sum_{e \in E^b} d(e)$$

Total cost $= 2 \ + 2$

Online Multicommodity CFL Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F} f(i) + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{e \in E_p^r} d(e) + M \sum_{e \in E^b} d(e)$$

Total cost $= 2 \; + 2 \; + 7.5$

Online Multicommodity CFL Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F} f(i) + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{e \in E_p^r} d(e) + M \sum_{e \in E^b} d(e)$$

Total cost $= 2 \ + 2 \ + 7.5$

Online Multicommodity CFL Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F} f(i) + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{e \in E_p^r} d(e) + M \sum_{e \in E^b} d(e)$$

Total cost $= 2 \ + 2 \ + 7.5 \ + 2 =$

Online Multicommodity CFL Problem



$$\min \sum_{i \in F} f(i) + \sum_{p \in P} \sum_{e \in E_p^r} d(e) + M \sum_{e \in E^b} d(e)$$

Total cost $= 2 \ + 2 \ + 7.5 \ + 2 = \ 13.5$

Online Multicommodity CFL Algorithm

We present a sample-and-augment algorithm inspired on the algorithm for MCFL due to Grandoni and Rothvoß.

We highlight that the Online Multicommodity Connected Facility Location problem is not a typical rent-or-buy problem.

Because the constraints on rented edges are distinct from those on bought edges.

However, it still has a cost scaling factor which justify the use of this technique.

Algorithm 1: Algorithm for the OMCFL problem.

Input: (G, d, f, M)

while *a new pair* $p = (s, t)$ *arrives* **do**

  $\pi_p \leftarrow \mathrm{dist}(G, d', s, t)/2$; ▷ decide if and which facilities

  send (s, π_p) and (t, π_p) to $\mathrm{ALG_{OPFL}}$ obtaining $\phi(s)$ and $\phi(t)$;

  if $\phi(s) \neq \mathrm{null}$ *and* $\phi(t) \neq \mathrm{null}$ **then**

    mark p with probability $1/M$; ▷ balance cost scaling factor

    if *p is marked* **then**

      send $(\phi(s), \phi(t))$ to $\mathrm{ALG_{OSF}}$ obtaining an edge set E^b_p;

      $F^a \leftarrow F^a \cup \{\phi(s), \phi(t)\}$; $E^b \leftarrow E^b \cup E^b_p$;

      for $x, y \in F^a$ *in the same component of* $G[E^b]$ **do**

        $d'(x, y) \leftarrow 0$; $E' \leftarrow E' \cup \{xy\}$;

  consider an (s, t)-shortest path in G with costs d';

  let E^r_p be the edges of this path except for those in E';

return $(F^a, E^b, (E^r_p)_{p \in P})$;

Analysis of the OMCFL Algorithm

Cost of Algorithm for OMCFL is divided between facilities opening cost (O), edges buying cost (B) and edges renting cost (R):

$$\mathrm{ALG}_{\mathrm{OMCFL}}(P) = O(P) + B(P) + R(P) \ .$$

And the edges renting cost (R) is divided according to the pairs in P^{π}, P^{m} and P^{u}:

$$R(P) = R^{\pi}(P) + R^{m}(P) + R^{u}(P) \ .$$

The cost of the offline optimal solution is also divided in this way:

$$OPT_{MCFL}(P) = O^{*}(P) + B^{*}(P) + R^{*}(P) \ .$$

First and Auxiliary Lemma

Lemma

$\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{PFL}}(D) \leq \mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P)$.

First and Auxiliary Lemma

Lemma

$\text{OPT}_{\text{PFL}}(D) \leq \text{OPT}_{\text{MCFL}}(P)$.



First and Auxiliary Lemma

Lemma

$\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{PFL}}(D) \leq \mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P)$.



First and Auxiliary Lemma

Lemma

$\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{PFL}}(D) \leq \mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P)$.



First and Auxiliary Lemma

Lemma

$\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{PFL}}(D) \leq \mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P)$.



First and Auxiliary Lemma

Lemma

$\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{PFL}}(D) \leq \mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P)$.



First and Auxiliary Lemma

Lemma

$\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{PFL}}(D) \leq \mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P)$.



$$\mathrm{ALG}_{\mathrm{OPFL}}(D) \leq \mathrm{O}(\log n)\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{PFL}}(P) \leq \mathrm{O}(\log n)\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P) \ .$$

Some Simple Lemmas

Cost of Algorithm for OPFL is divided between facilities opening cost (O'), clients penalty cost (Π) and clients connection cost (C'):

$$\mathrm{ALG}_{\mathrm{OPFL}}(D) = O'(D) + \Pi(D) + C'(D) \ .$$

Lemma (Facility Opening Cost)

$O(P) \leq O'(D)$. $\mathrm{ALG}_{\mathrm{OMCFL}}$ *opens a subset of* $\mathrm{ALG}_{\mathrm{OPFL}}$ *facilities.*

Lemma (Close Pairs Renting Cost)

$R^{\pi}(P) \leq 2\Pi(D)$. *At least one node of each pair paid penalty.*

Lemma (Marked Pairs Renting Cost)

$R^{m}(P) \leq C'(D)$. *For every marked pair, its renting edges correspond to its nodes connections.*

Central Lemma

Lemma (Buying Cost)

$\mathbf{E}[B(P)] = \mathrm{O}(\log^2 n)\,\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P).$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}[B(P)] &= M\,\mathrm{O}(\log n)\,\mathbf{E}[\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{SF}}(Q)] \\
&= M\,\mathrm{O}(\log n)\left(\frac{B^*(P) + R^*(P) + C'(D)}{M}\right) \\
&= \mathrm{O}(\log n)\,\left(B^*(P) + R^*(P) + \mathrm{ALG}_{\mathrm{OPFL}}(D)\right) \\
&= \mathrm{O}(\log n)\,\left(\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P) + \mathrm{O}(\log n)\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{PFL}}(D)\right) \\
&= \mathrm{O}(\log^2 n)\,\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P)\quad .
\end{aligned}
$$

Final Lemma

Lemma (Unmarked Pairs Renting Cost)

$\mathbf{E}[R^u(P)] = \mathrm{O}(\log^2 n)\,\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P)$.

$$\mathbf{E}[R^u(P)] \leq \mathbf{E}[B(P)] + C'(D) = \mathrm{O}(\log^2 n)\,\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P) \quad .$$

Main Result

Theorem

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathrm{ALG}_{\mathrm{OMCFL}}(P)] = \mathrm{O}(\log^2 n)\,\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}[\mathrm{ALG}_{\mathrm{OMCFL}}(P)] &= \mathbf{E}[O(P)] + \mathbf{E}[B(P)] + \mathbf{E}[R(P)] \\
&= \mathbf{E}[O(P)] + \mathbf{E}[B(P)] \\
&\quad + \mathbf{E}[R^{\pi}(P) + R^{m}(P) + R^{u}(P)] \\
&\leq O'(D) + \mathrm{O}(\log^2 n)\,\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P) \\
&\quad + 2\Pi(D) + C'(D) + \mathrm{O}(\log^2 n)\,\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P) \\
&= \mathrm{O}(\log^2 n)\,\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P) \quad .
\end{aligned}$$

Final Remarks

With a small change in the algorithm we are able achieve a logarithmic bound on the expected buying cost ($B(P)$). Thus, we have:

Theorem

In the special case of OMCFL in which $M = 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{ALG2}_{\mathrm{OMCFL}}(P) = \mathrm{O}(\log n)\,\mathrm{OPT}_{\mathrm{MCFL}}(P) \ .$$

However, we are still working to improve the bound on the expected renting cost of unmarked clients ($R^u(P)$).

Acknowledgements

That's all!

Questions?